

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,056	08/04/2003	Rudolf M. Smaling	9501-73118 5525	
23643 BARNES & T	7590 10/22/2007 HORNBURG LLP	EXAMINER		
11 SOUTH MERIDIAN			MERKLING, MATTHEW J	
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1797	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/634,056	SMALING, RUDOLF M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Matthew J. Merkling	1797			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 July 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Appeal Brief

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 7/26/07, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED.

New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

Glenn Caldarola Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700

Glenn Caldarola

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700

Application/Control Number: 10/634,056 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-7 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Noguchi et al. (US 4,143,620).

Regarding claim 1, Noguchi discloses a method of operating a fuel reformer (110, see abstract), comprising the steps of:

determining the temperature of a reformate gas (see col. 8 lines 29-45 where the reforming reactor temperature is detected, which corresponds to the outlet temperature of the reformate gas) produced by the fuel reformer, and

adjusting an air-to-fuel ratio of an air/fuel mixture processed by the fuel reformer based on the temperature of the reformate gas (see col. 8 lines 29-45 where the air-fuel ratio is adjusted based on the temperature of the reforming reactor/reformate gas).

Regarding claim 2, Noguchi, as discussed in claim 1 above, further discloses the fuel reformer (110) has an air inlet valve (220) associated therewith, and the adjusting step comprises adjusting position of the air inlet valve based on the temperature of the reformate gas (col. 5 lines 22-28).

Regarding claims 3-6, Noguchi, as discussed in claim 1 above, further discloses a step of comparing the temperature of the reformate gas/reforming reactor to a predetermined temperature value (col. 7 lines 33-44), and

the adjusting step comprises reducing the air-to-fuel ratio of the air/fuel mixture if the temperature of the reformate gas is greater than the predetermined temperature value (at low temperatures, the valve 220 is opened to increase the air flow and the air/fuel ratio and when the temperature is high, valve 220 closes to decrease the air flow and the air/fuel ratio, col. 5 lines 30-43 and col. 5 line 66- col. 6 line 8).

Regarding claim 7, Noguchi, as discussed in claim 1 above, further discloses sensing the temperature of the reformate gas/reforming reactor with a temperature sensor (thermocouple, col. 6 line 65 – col. 7 line 3).

Regarding claim 16, Noguchi discloses a method of operating a fuel reformer, the method comprising the steps of:

operating the fuel reformer so as to process an air/fuel mixture having a first air-to-fuel ratio during a first period of time (such as during cold-starting, see col. 5 lines 30-35),

determining the temperature of a reformate gas produced by the fuel reformer during the first period of time (see col. 5 lines 30-35), and

operating the fuel reformer so as to process an air/fuel mixture having a second air-to-fuel ratio during a second period of time (such as after startup) based on the temperature of the reformate gas, the air/fuel mixture having the

Application/Control Number: 10/634,056 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

second air-to-fuel ratio being different than the air/fuel mixture having the first air-to-fuel ratio (col. 5 line 66 – col. 6 line 8).

Regarding claim 17, Noguchi, as discussed in claim 16 above, further discloses the fuel reformer has an air inlet valve (220) associated therewith, the step of operating the fuel reformer so as to process the first air/fuel mixture having a first air-to-fuel ratio comprises positioning the air inlet valve at a first valve position (col. 5 lines 30-43), and

the step of operating the fuel reformer so as to process the second air/fuel mixture having the second air-to-fuel ratio comprises positioning the air inlet valve at a second valve position, the second valve position being different that the first valve position (col. 5 line 66 – col. 6 line 8).

Regarding claim 18, Noguchi, as discussed in claim 16 above, further discloses the determining step comprises sensing the temperature of the reformate gas with a temperature sensor (thermocouple, col. 6 line 65 – col. 7 line 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,056

Art Unit: 1797

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 6

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al. (US 4,143,620).

Regarding claim 8, Noguchi discloses a fuel reforming assembly (110, see abstract), comprising:

a fuel reformer (110),

a temperature sensor (thermocouple, col. 6 line 65 – col. 7 line 3),

a controller (controlling circuit, 230) electrically coupled to both the fuel reformer and the temperature sensor (col. 6 line 65 – col. 7 line 3), wherein the controller comprises a memory device (it stores a reference signal, col. 7 lines 3-9) where the controller is designed to:

- (a) monitor output from the temperature sensor so as to determine the temperature of a reformate gas produced by the fuel reformer, and
- (b) adjust an air-to-fuel ratio of an air/fuel mixture processed by the fuel reformer based on the temperature of the reformate gas (col. 7 lines 3-22).

While Noguchi discloses a controlling means to control the valve position of the air intake valve, Noguchi, does not explicitly disclose the use of a processor in the controller. However, judging from the issue date of Noguchi, microprocessor technology was in its infancy. As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace the electromagnetic coil controlling means of Noguchi, with a controller containing a processor.

Page 7

Regarding claim 9, modified Noguchi, as discussed in claim 8 above, further discloses an electrically-controlled air inlet valve (220), wherein:

the air inlet valve is electrically coupled to the processor, and the plurality of instructions, when executed by the processor, further cause the processor to adjust position of the air inlet valve based on the temperature of the reformate gas (see col. 6 line 65 – col. 7 line 44).

Regarding claims 10-13, modified Noguchi, as discussed in claim 8 above, further discloses the air inlet valve (220) is electrically coupled to the processor (col. 7 lines 3-21), and the plurality of instructions, when executed by the processor, further cause the processor to:

- (a) compare the temperature of the reformate gas to a predetermined temperature value (col. 7 lines 3-9), and
- (b) reduce the air-to-fuel ratio of the air/fuel mixture if the temperature of the reformate gas is greater than the predetermined temperature value increase the air/fuel ratio if the temperature of the reformate gas is less than the predetermined temperature value (col: 5 lines 30-43 and col. 5 line 66 col. 6 line 9).

Art Unit: 1797

Regarding claim 14, modified Noguchi, as discussed in claim 8 above, further discloses the fuel reformer comprises a reactor housing (110, see Fig. 1A), and the temperature sensor (184) is positioned in the reactor housing (see Fig. 1A).

Regarding claim 15 Noguchi, as discussed in claim 8 above, further discloses the fuel reformer comprises a reactor housing (110, see Fig. 1A). Noguchi, as discussed in claim 8 above, teaches a temperature sensor (184) to detect the temperature of the reforming reactor, but fails to explicitly disclose the temperature sensor located outside the reactor housing. However such modification (such as placing the temperature sensor on the outlet line (112) of the reformer) is a mere rearrangement of the system parts that would not modify the operation of the system (operation would remain the same as the outlet temperature of the reforming reactor is substantially equal to the interior temperature of the reforming reactor), and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. See In re Japikse, 181 F.2d 1019, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Merkling whose telephone number is (571) 272-9813. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,056 Page 9

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJM

Glenn Caldarola
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700